Environmental Compliance Approval

NUMBER 1714-9K6L3A
Issue Date: July 7, 2014

TREL of Sarnia Ltd.
1165 Confederation Street
Sarnia, Ontario
N7S 3Y5

Site Location: 1165 Confederation Street
Sarnia, Ontario

You have applied under section 20.2 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. E. 19 (Environmental Protection Act) for approval of:

Description Section

A machine shop facility, consisting of the following processes and support units:

- general machining;
- computer numerical control (CNC) machining;
- welding;
- fabrication;
- water jet cutting;
- laser alignment;
- millwright services;
- heat treating;
- assembly;

including the Equipment and any other ancillary and support processes and activities, operating at a Facility Production Limit of up to 1,900,000 parts per year discharging to the air as described in the Original ESDM Report.

For the purpose of this environmental compliance approval, the following definitions apply:

1. "Acceptable Maximum Ground Level Concentration" means a concentration accepted by the Ministry, as described in the Guide to Applying for Approval (Air & Noise), for a Compound of Concern listed in the Original ESDM Report that:

   (a) has no Ministry Point of Impingement Limit and no Jurisdictional Screening Level, or

   (b) has a concentration at a Point of Impingement that exceeds the Jurisdictional Screening Level.

2. "Acoustic Assessment Report" means the report, prepared in accordance with Publication NPC-
233 and Appendix A of the Basic Comprehensive User Guide, by Robert Stevens of Howe Gastmeier Chapnik Limited and dated June 17, 2014 submitted in support of the application, that documents all sources of noise emissions and Noise Control Measures present at the Facility and includes all up-dated Acoustic Assessment Reports as required by the Documentation Requirements conditions of this Approval to demonstrate continued compliance with the Performance Limits following the implementation of any Modification.

3. "Acoustic Assessment Summary Table" means a table prepared in accordance with the Basic Comprehensive User Guide summarising the results of the Acoustic Assessment Report, up-dated as required by the Documentation Requirements conditions of this Approval.

4. "Air Standards Manager" means the Manager, Human Toxicology and Air Standards Section, Standards Development Branch, or any other person who represents and carries out the duties of the Manager, Human Toxicology and Air Standards Section, Standards Development Branch, as those duties relate to the conditions of this Approval.

5. "Approval" means this entire Environmental Compliance Approval and any Schedules to it.


7. "Company" means TREL of Sarnia Ltd. that is responsible for the construction or operation of the Facility and includes any successors and assigns in accordance with section 19 of the EPA.

8. "Compound of Concern" means a contaminant that, based on generally available information, may be discharged to the air in a quantity from the Facility that:

   (a) is non-negligible in accordance with section 26(1)4 of O. Reg. 419/05 in comparison to the relevant Ministry Point of Impingement Limit; or

   (b) if a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit is not available for the compound, may cause an adverse effect at a Point of Impingement based on generally available toxicological information.

9. "Description Section" means the section on page one of this Approval describing the Company's operations and the Equipment located at the Facility and specifying the Facility Production Limit for the Facility.

10. "Director" means a person appointed by the Minister pursuant to section 5 of the EPA.

11. "District Manager" means the District Manager of the appropriate local district office of the Ministry, where the Facility is geographically located.

12. "Emission Summary Table" means the most updated table contained in the ESDM Report, which is prepared in accordance with section 26 of O. Reg. 419/05 and the Procedure Document listing the appropriate Point of Impingement concentration for each Compound of Concern from the Facility and providing comparison to the corresponding Ministry Point of Impingement Limit or Maximum Concentration Level Assessment, or Jurisdictional Screening Level.


15. "Equipment" means equipment or processes described in the ESDM Report, this Approval and in the Schedules referred to herein and any other equipment or processes.

16. "Equipment with Specific Operational Limits" means any Equipment related to the thermal oxidation of waste or waste derived fuels, fume incinerators or any other Equipment that is specifically
referenced in any published Ministry document that outlines specific operational guidance that must be considered by the Director in issuing an Approval.

17. "ESDM Report" means the most current Emission Summary and Dispersion Modelling Report that describes the Facility. The ESDM Report is based on the Original ESDM Report, is prepared after the issuance of this Approval in accordance with section 26 of O. Reg. 419/05 and the Procedure Document by the Company or its consultant.

18. "Facility" means the entire operation located on the property where the Equipment is located.

19. "Facility Production Limit" means the production limit placed by the Director on the main product(s) or raw materials used by the Facility.

20. "Jurisdictional Screening Level" means a screening level for a Compound of Concern that is listed in the Ministry publication titled "Jurisdictional Screening Level (JSL) List, A Screening Tool for Ontario Regulation 419: Air Pollution - Local Air Quality", dated February 2008, as amended.

21. "Log" means the up-to-date log that is used to track all Modifications to the Facility since the date of this Approval as required by the Documentation Requirements conditions of this Approval.

22. "Maximum Concentration Level Assessment" means the Maximum Concentration Level Assessment for the purposes of an Approval, described in the Basic Comprehensive User Guide, prepared by a Toxicologist using currently available toxicological information, that demonstrates that the concentration at any Point of Impingement for a Compound of Concern that does not have a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit is not likely to cause an adverse effect as defined by the EPA.

23. "Ministry" means the ministry of the government of Ontario responsible for the EPA and its regulations and includes all officials, employees or other persons acting on its behalf.

24. "Ministry Point of Impingement Limit" means the applicable Standard set out in Schedule 2 or 3 of O.Reg. 419/05 or a limit set out in the Ministry publication titled "Summary of Standards and Guidelines to support Ontario Regulation 419: Air Pollution - Local Air Quality (including Schedule 6 of O. Reg. 419 on Upper Risk Thresholds)", dated April 2012, as amended.

25. "Modification" means any construction, alteration, extension or replacement of any plant, structure, equipment, apparatus, mechanism or thing, or alteration of a process or rate of production at the Facility that may discharge or alter the rate or manner of discharge of a Compound of Concern to the air or discharge or alter noise or vibration emissions from the Facility.

26. "Noise Control Measures" means measures to reduce the noise emissions from the Facility and/or Equipment including, but not limited to, silencers, acoustic louvres, enclosures, absorptive treatment, plenums and barriers.

27. "O. Reg. 419/05" means the Ontario Regulation 419/05, Air Pollution – Local Air Quality, as amended.

28. "Original ESDM Report" means the Emission Summary and Dispersion Modelling Report which was prepared in accordance with section 26 of O. Reg. 419/05 and the Procedure Document by LEHDER Environmental Services Limited and dated December 2012 submitted in support of the application, and includes any changes to the report made up to the date of issuance of this Approval.

29. "Performance Limits" means the performance limits specified in Condition 3.2 of this Approval titled Performance Limits.

30. "Point of Impingement" has the same meaning as in section 2 of O. Reg. 419/05.

31. "Point of Reception" means Point of Reception as defined by Publication NPC-205 and/or
Publication NPC-232, as applicable.


33. "Processes with Significant Environmental Aspects" means the Equipment which, during regular operation, would discharge a contaminant or contaminants into the air at an amount which is not considered as negligible in accordance with section 26(1)4 of O. Reg. 419/05 and the Procedure Document.

34. "Publication NPC-205" means the Ministry Publication NPC-205, "Sound Level Limits for Stationary Sources in Class 1 & 2 Areas (Urban)", October, 1995, as amended.


37. "Publication NPC-233" means the Ministry Publication NPC-233, "Information to be Submitted for Approval of Stationary Sources of Sound", October, 1995, as amended.

38. "Schedules" means the following schedules attached to this Approval and forming part of this Approval namely:

Schedule A - Supporting Documentation.

39. "Toxicologist" means a qualified professional currently active in the field of risk assessment and toxicology that has a combination of formal university education, training and experience necessary to assess contaminants.

40. "Written Summary Form" means the electronic questionnaire form, available on the Ministry website, and supporting documentation, that documents the activities undertaken at the Facility in the previous calendar year that must be submitted annually to the Ministry as required by the section of this Approval titled Reporting Requirements.

You are hereby notified that this environmental compliance approval is issued to you subject to the terms and conditions outlined below:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. GENERAL

1.1 Except as otherwise provided by this Approval, the Facility shall be designed, developed, built, operated and maintained in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Approval and in accordance with the following Schedules attached hereto:

Schedule A - Supporting Documentation.

2. LIMITED OPERATIONAL FLEXIBILITY

2.1 Pursuant to section 20.6(1) of the EPA and subject to Conditions 2.2 and 2.3 of this Approval, future construction, alterations, extensions or replacements are approved in this Approval if the future construction, alterations, extensions or replacements are Modifications to the Facility that:
(a) are within the scope of the operations of the Facility as described in the Description Section of this Approval;

(b) do not result in an increase of the Facility Production Limit above the level specified in the Description Section of this Approval; and

(c) result in compliance with the Performance Limits.

2.2 Condition 2.1 does not apply to:

(a) the addition of any new Equipment with Specific Operational Limits or to the Modification of any existing Equipment with Specific Operational Limits at the Facility; or

(b) Modifications to the Facility that would be subject to the Environmental Assessment Act.

2.3 Condition 2.1 of this Approval shall expire February 1, 2020, unless this Approval is revoked prior to the expiry date. The Company may apply for renewal of Condition 2.1 of this Approval by including an ESDM Report and an Acoustic Assessment Report that describes the Facility as of the date of the renewal application.

3. REQUEST FOR MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION LEVEL ASSESSMENT AND PERFORMANCE LIMITS

3.1 REQUEST FOR MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION LEVEL ASSESSMENT

3.1.1 If the Company proposes to make a Modification to the Facility, the Company shall determine if the proposed Modification will result in:

(a) a discharge of a Compound of Concern that was not previously discharged; or

(b) an increase in the concentration at a Point of Impingement of a Compound of Concern.

3.1.2 If a proposed Modification mentioned in Condition 3.1.1 will result in the discharge of a Compound of Concern that was not previously discharged, the Company shall submit a Maximum Concentration Level Assessment to the Director for review by the Air Standards Manager in the following circumstances:

(a) The Compound of Concern does not have a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit or a Jurisdictional Screening Level.

(b) The Compound of Concern does not have a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit and the concentration at a Point of Impingement will exceed the Jurisdictional Screening Level.

(c) Prior to the proposed Modification, a contaminant was discharged in a negligible amount and the proposed Modification will result in the discharge of the contaminant being considered a Compound of Concern and the Compound of Concern does not have a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit or a Jurisdictional Screening Level.

(d) Prior to the proposed Modification, a contaminant was discharged in a negligible amount and the proposed Modification will result in the discharge of the contaminant being considered a Compound of Concern. Additionally, the Compound of Concern does not have a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit and the concentration at a Point of Impingement will exceed the Jurisdictional Screening Level.

3.1.3 If a proposed Modification mentioned in Condition 3.1.1 will result in an increase in the concentration at a Point of Impingement of a Compound of Concern, the Company shall submit a Maximum Concentration Level Assessment to the Director for review by the Air Standards Manager in the following circumstances:

(a) The Compound of Concern does not have a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit or a Jurisdictional
Screening Level and the concentration at a Point of Impingement will exceed the Acceptable Maximum Ground Level Concentration.

(b) The Compound of Concern does not have a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit or a Jurisdictional Screening Level and the concentration at a Point of Impingement will exceed the most recently accepted Maximum Concentration Level Assessment submitted under Condition 3.1.2 or this Condition.

(c) The Compound of Concern does not have a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit and the concentration at a Point of Impingement will exceed the Jurisdictional Screening Level and the Acceptable Maximum Ground Level Concentration.

(d) The Compound of Concern does not have a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit and the concentration at a Point of Impingement will exceed the Jurisdictional Screening Level and the most recently accepted Maximum Concentration Level Assessment submitted under Condition 3.1.2 or this Condition.

(e) The Compound of Concern does not have a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit, Acceptable Maximum Ground Level Concentration or a Maximum Concentration Level Assessment and the concentration at a Point of Impingement will exceed the Jurisdictional Screening Level.

3.1.4 Subject to the Operational Flexibility set out in Condition 2 of this Approval, the Company may make the Modification if the submission of a Maximum Concentration Level Assessment under Condition 3.1.2 or 3.1.3 is not required.

3.1.5 A Company that is required to submit an assessment under Condition 3.1.2 or 3.1.3 shall submit the assessment at least thirty (30) days before the proposed Modification occurs.

3.1.6 The Ministry shall provide to the Company written confirmation of the receipt of the assessment under Condition 3.1.2 or 3.1.3.

3.1.7 If an assessment is submitted under Condition 3.1.2 or 3.1.3, the Company shall not modify the Facility unless the Ministry accepts the assessment.

3.1.8 If the Ministry notifies the Company that it does not accept the assessment submitted under Condition 3.1.2 or 3.1.3, the Company shall:

(a) revise and resubmit the assessment; or

(b) notify the Ministry that the Company will not be modifying the Facility.

3.1.9 The re-submission under Condition 3.1.8 (a) is considered by the Ministry as a new submission.

3.2. PERFORMANCE LIMITS

3.2.1 Subject to Condition 3.2.2, the Company shall, at all times, ensure that all Equipment that is a source of a Compound of Concern is operated to comply with the following Performance Limits:

(a) for a Compound of Concern that has a Ministry Point of Impingement Limit, the maximum concentration of that Compound of Concern at any Point of Impingement shall not exceed the corresponding Ministry Point of Impingement Limit;

(b) for a Compound of Concern that has an Acceptable Maximum Ground Level Concentration and no Maximum Concentration Level Assessment, the maximum concentration of that Compound of Concern at any Point of Impingement shall not exceed the corresponding Acceptable Maximum Ground Level Concentration; and

(c) for a Compound of Concern that has a Maximum Concentration Level Assessment, the maximum concentration of that Compound of Concern at any Point of Impingement shall not exceed the most
recently accepted corresponding Maximum Concentration Level Assessment.

3.2.2 If the Company has modified the Facility and was not required to submit a Maximum Concentration Level Assessment with respect to a Compound of Concern under Condition 3.1.2 or 3.1.3, the Company shall, at all times, ensure that all Equipment that is a source of the Compound of Concern is operated such that the maximum concentration of the Compound of Concern shall not exceed the concentration listed for the Compound of Concern in the most recent version of the ESDM Report.

3.2.3 The Company shall, at all times, ensure that the noise emissions from the Facility comply with the limits set out in Ministry Publication NPC-205 or Publication NPC-232, as applicable.

3.2.4 The Company shall, at all times, ensure that the vibration emissions from the Facility comply with the limits set out in Ministry Publication NPC-207.

3.2.5 The Company shall, at all times, operate any Equipment with Specific Operational Limits approved by this Approval in accordance with the Original ESDM Report.

4. DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

4.1 The Company shall, at all times, maintain documentation that describes the current operations of the Facility, including but not limited to:

(a) an ESDM Report that demonstrates compliance with the Performance Limits for the Facility;

(b) an Acoustic Assessment Report that demonstrates compliance with the Performance Limits for the Facility;

(c) an up-to-date Log that describes each Modification to the Facility; and

(d) a record of the changes to the ESDM Report and the Acoustic Assessment Report that documents how each Modification is in compliance with the Performance Limits.

4.2 The Company shall, during regular business hours, make the current Emission Summary Table and Acoustic Assessment Summary Table available for inspection at the Facility by any interested member of the public.

4.3 Subject to Condition 4.5, the Company shall prepare and complete no later than June 15 of each year documentation that describes the activities undertaken at the Facility in the previous calendar year, including but not limited to:

(a) a list of all Compounds of Concern for which a Maximum Concentration Level Assessment was submitted to the Director for review by the Air Standards Manager pursuant to Condition 3.1.2 or 3.1.3 of this Approval;

(b) if the Company has modified the Facility and was not required to submit a Maximum Concentration Level Assessment with respect to a Compound of Concern under Condition 3.1.2 or 3.1.3, a list and concentration level of all such Compounds of Concern;

(c) a review of any changes to Ministry Point of Impingement Limits that affect any Compounds of Concern emitted from the Facility; and

(d) a table of the changes in the emission rate of any Compound of Concern and the resultant increase or decrease in the Point of Impingement concentration reported in the ESDM Report.

4.4 Subject to Condition 4.5, the Company shall, at all times, maintain the documentation described in Condition 4.3.

4.5 Conditions 4.3 and 4.4 do not apply if Condition 2.1 has expired.
4.6 The Company shall, within three (3) months after the expiry of Condition 2.1 of this Approval, update the ESDM Report and the Acoustic Assessment Report such that they describe the Facility as it was at the time that Condition 2.1 of this Approval expired.

5. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

5.1 Subject to Condition 5.2, the Company shall provide the Ministry and the Director no later than June 15 of each year, a Written Summary Form that shall include the following:

(a) a declaration of whether the Facility was in compliance with section 9 of the EPA, O.Reg. 419/05 and the conditions of this Approval;

(b) a summary of each Modification that took place in the previous calendar year that resulted in a change in the previously calculated concentration at the Point of Impingement for any Compound of Concern or resulted in a change in the sound levels reported in the Acoustic Assessment Summary Table at any Point of Reception.

5.2 Condition 5.1 does not apply if Condition 2.1 has expired.

6. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

6.1 The Company shall prepare and implement, not later than three (3) months from the date of this Approval, operating procedures and maintenance programs for all Processes with Significant Environmental Aspects, which shall specify as a minimum:

(a) frequency of inspections and scheduled preventative maintenance;

(b) procedures to prevent upset conditions;

(c) procedures to minimize all fugitive emissions;

(d) procedures to prevent and/or minimize odorous emissions;

(e) procedures to prevent and/or minimize noise emissions; and

(f) procedures for record keeping activities relating to the operation and maintenance programs.

6.2 The Company shall ensure that all Processes with Significant Environmental Aspects are operated and maintained at all times in accordance with this Approval, the operating procedures and maintenance programs.

7. COMPLAINTS RECORDING PROCEDURE

7.1 If at any time, the Company receives any environmental complaints from the public regarding the operation of the Equipment approved by this Approval, the Company shall respond to these complaints according to the following procedure:

(a) the Company shall record and number each complaint, either electronically or in a log book, and shall include the following information: the time and date of the complaint and incident to which the complaint relates, the nature of the complaint, wind direction at the time and date of the incident to which the complaint relates and, if known, the address of the complainant;

(b) the Company, upon notification of a complaint, shall initiate appropriate steps to determine all possible causes of the complaint, and shall proceed to take the necessary actions to appropriately deal with the cause of the subject matter of the complaint; and

(c) the Company shall complete and retain on-site a report written within one (1) week of the complaint date, listing the actions taken to appropriately deal with the cause of the subject matter of the
complaint and any recommendations for remedial measures, and managerial or operational changes to reasonably avoid the recurrence of similar incidents.

8. RECORD KEEPING REQUIREMENTS

8.1 Any information requested by any employee in or agent of the Ministry concerning the Facility and its operation under this Approval, including, but not limited to, any records required to be kept by this Approval, shall be provided to the employee in or agent of the Ministry, upon request, in a timely manner.

8.2 The Company shall retain, for a minimum of five (5) years from the date of their creation, except as noted below, all reports, records and information described in this Approval and shall include but not be limited to:

(a) If the Company has updated the ESDM Report in order to comply with Condition 4.1(a) of this Approval, a copy of each new version of the ESDM Report;

(b) If the Company has updated the Acoustic Assessment Report, in order to comply with Condition 4.1(b) of this Approval, a copy of each new version of the Acoustic Assessment Report;

(c) supporting information used in the emission rate calculations performed in the ESDM Reports and Acoustic Assessment Reports to document compliance with the Performance Limits (superseded information must be retained for a period of three (3) years after Modification);

(d) the Log that describes each Modification to the Facility;

(e) all documentation prepared in accordance with Condition 4.3 of this Approval;

(f) copies of any Written Summary Forms provided to the Ministry under Condition 5.1 of this Approval;

(g) the operating procedures and maintenance programs, including records on the maintenance, repair and inspection of the Equipment related to all Processes with Significant Environmental Aspects; and

(h) the complaints recording procedure, including records related to all environmental complaints made by the public as required by Condition 7.1 of this Approval.

9. REVOCATION OF PREVIOUS APPROVALS

9.1 This Approval replaces and revokes all Certificates of Approval (Air) issued under section 9 EPA and Environmental Compliance Approvals issued under Part II.1 EPA to the Facility in regards to the activities mentioned in subsection 9(1) of the EPA and dated prior to the date of this Approval.

SCHEDULE A

Supporting Documentation

(a) Application for Approval (Air & Noise), dated December 18, 2012, signed by Dominic DiCarlo, President, and submitted by the Company;

(b) Emission Summary and Dispersion Modelling Report, prepared by LEHDER Environmental Services Limited, dated December 2012 and signed by Aleta Jansen;

(c) Acoustic Assessment Report prepared by Howe Gastmeier Chapnik Limited, dated June 17, 2014 and signed by Robert Stevens; and

(d) Additional information prepared by LEHDER Environmental Services Limited, dated May 23, 2014
and May 30, 2014 and signed by Aleta Jansen.

The reasons for the imposition of these terms and conditions are as follows:

GENERAL
1. Condition No. 1 is included to require the Approval holder to build, operate and maintain the Facility in accordance with the Supporting Documentation in Schedule A considered by the Director in issuing this Approval.

LIMITED OPERATIONAL FLEXIBILITY, REQUEST FOR MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION LEVEL ASSESSMENT AND PERFORMANCE LIMITS
2. Conditions No. 2 and 3 are included to limit and define the Modifications permitted by this Approval, and to set out the circumstances in which the Company shall submit a Maximum Concentration Level Assessment prior to making Modifications. The holder of the Approval is approved for operational flexibility for the Facility that is consistent with the description of the operations included with the application up to the Facility Production Limit. In return for the operational flexibility, the Approval places performance based limits that cannot be exceeded under the terms of this Approval. Approval holders will still have to obtain other relevant approvals required to operate the Facility, including requirements under other environmental legislation such as the Environmental Assessment Act.

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS
3. Condition No. 4 is included to require the Company to maintain ongoing documentation that demonstrates compliance with the Performance Limits of this Approval and allows the Ministry to monitor on-going compliance with these Performance Limits. The Company is required to have an up to date ESDM Report and Acoustic Assessment Report that describe the Facility at all times and make the Emission Summary Table and Acoustic Assessment Summary Table from these reports available to the public on an ongoing basis in order to maintain public communication with regard to the emissions from the Facility.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS
4. Condition No. 5 is included to require the Company to provide a yearly Written Summary Form to the Ministry, to assist the Ministry with the review of the site’s compliance with the EPA, the regulations and this Approval.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
5. Condition No. 6 is included to require the Company to properly operate and maintain the Processes with Significant Environmental Aspects to minimize the impact to the environment from these processes.

COMPLAINTS RECORDING PROCEDURE
6. Condition No. 7 is included to require the Company to respond to any environmental complaints regarding the operation of the Equipment, according to a procedure that includes methods for preventing recurrence of similar incidents and a requirement to prepare and retain a written report.

RECORD KEEPING REQUIREMENTS
7. Condition No. 8 is included to require the Company to retain all documentation related to this Approval and provide access to employees in or agents of the Ministry, upon request, so that the Ministry can determine if a more detailed review of compliance with the Performance Limits is necessary.
REVOCATION OF PREVIOUS APPROVALS

8. Condition No. 9 is included to identify that this Approval replaces all Section 9 Certificate(s) of Approval and Part II.1 Approvals in regards to the activities mentioned in subsection 9(1) of the EPA and dated prior to the date of this Approval.

In accordance with Section 139 of the Environmental Protection Act, you may by written Notice served upon me, the Environmental Review Tribunal and in accordance with Section 47 of the Environmental Bill of Rights, 1993, S.O. 1993, c. 28 (Environmental Bill of Rights), the Environmental Commissioner, within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. The Environmental Commissioner will place notice of your appeal on the Environmental Registry. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

1. The portions of the environmental compliance approval or each term or condition in the environmental compliance approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;
2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed.

The Notice should also include:

3. The name of the appellant;
4. The address of the appellant;
5. The environmental compliance approval number;
6. The date of the environmental compliance approval;
7. The name of the Director, and;
8. The municipality or municipalities within which the project is to be engaged in.

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary*
Environmental Review Tribunal
655 Bay Street, Suite 1500
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1E5

AND

The Environmental Commissioner
1075 Bay Street, Suite 605
Toronto, Ontario M5S 2B1

AND

The Director appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act
Ministry of the Environment
2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor 12A
Toronto, Ontario M4V 1L5

* Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal’s requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 212-6349, Fax: (416) 314-4506 or www.ert.gov.on.ca

This instrument is subject to Section 38 of the Environmental Bill of Rights, 1993, that allows residents of Ontario to seek leave to appeal the decision on this instrument. Residents of Ontario may seek leave to appeal within 15 days from the date this decision is placed on the Environmental Registry. By accessing the Environmental Registry at www.ebr.gov.on.ca, you can determine when the leave to appeal period ends.

The above noted activity is approved under s.20.3 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act.
DATED AT TORONTO this 7th day of July, 2014

Rudolf Wan, P.Eng.
Director
appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of
the *Environmental Protection Act*

CL/
c: District Manager, MOE Sarnia
Aleta Jansen, LEHDER Environmental Services